

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,606	06/27/2005	Toshiaki Takenaka	MAT-8716US	9266
23122 7590 04/03/2009 RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			TALBOT, BRIAN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/540,606 TAKENAKA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Brian K. Talbot 1792 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5.8-25 and 27-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,8-16,23-25 and 27-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/19/09

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/540,606 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

 The amendment filed 3/19/09 has been considered and entered. Claims 6,7, and 26 have been canceled. Claims 17-22 remain withdrawn from prosecution. Claims 1-5,8-16,23-25 and 27-35 remain in the application.

- This application contains claims 17-22 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in
 the reply filed on 8/26/08. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of
 nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP \$ 821.01.
- In light of the amendment filed 3/19/09, the 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph, rejection have been overcome and withdrawn, however, the following rejection has been necessitated by the amendment.
- 4. In light of the amendment filed 3/19/09, the 35 USC 102(b) rejection has been withdrawn, as well as the 35 USC 103 rejection over Kuroki et al. (JP 57-103862) alone. However, the 35 USC 103 rejections have been necessitated by the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1-5,8-11,13-16,23-25 and 27-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The height of the "elevation of the perimeter of the depression" being greater than 3 microns critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure (see specification, pg. 11, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/540,606 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

22-27 where it states that less than 3 microns is not effective) See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPO 356 (CCPA 1976).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1-5,7-16,23,24 and 26-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takenaka et al. (JP 2001-213,064) in combination with Kuroki et al. (JP 57-103862).

Application/Control Number: 10/540,606

Art Unit: 1792

Takenaka et al. (JP 2001-213,064) teaches a printing plate and printing method whereby a process comprises passing a squeegee onto an inclined part of a paste removing part (3) provided on a mask (2) before the printing pattern or paste filling (abstract and fig. 1).

Takenaka et al. (JP 2001-213,064) fails to teach an elevation around the depressions for removal of the paste from the squeegee.

Kuroki et al. (JP 57-103862) teaches a screen printing plate for filling conductor whereby the solid metal screen having a cancavo-convex pattern formed at a side where a squeegee starts to move, of a periphery of a printing pattern of the screen printing plate (abstract and Figs. 4,5).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Takenaka et al. (JP 2001-213,064) process by including "elevations" along with the depressions as evidenced by Kuroki et al. (JP 57-103862) with the expectation of achieving a more complete removal of the excess paste from the squeegee.

Features detailed above concerning Takenaka et al. (JP 2001-213,064) and Kuroki et al. (JP 57-103862) are incorporated here.

Takenaka et al. (JP 2001-213,064) and/or Kuroki et al. (JP 57-103862) fail to teach masking both sides (claim 2), forming the groove (cleaning part) (claims 8-12,27-31) and the compositional make-up of the substrate having the holes to be filled (claims 13-15,32-34).

While the Examiner acknowledges the references are silent with respect to these limitations, it is the Examiner's position that these are all "result effective variables" which are within the skill of one practicing in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the art absent a showing of unexpected results garnered directly from the claimed limitations. Application/Control Number: 10/540,606

Art Unit: 1792

No such showing has been supplied to support that the shape of the hole cleaning part, the method of producing the hole cleaning part or the substrate to which the paste is supplied has any criticality to producing the expected result, i.e. cleaning paste material from the squeegee as it passes. Upon such a showing, the Examiner will reconsider his position.

Claims 6 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Takenaka et al. (JP 2001-213,064) in combination with Kuroki et al. (JP 57-103862) further in combination with Kozo et al. (JP 2001-7514).

Features detailed above concerning Takenaka et al. (JP 2001-213,064) in combination with Kuroki et al. (JP 57-103862) are incorporated here.

Takenaka et al. (JP 2001-213,064) in combination with Kuroki et al. (JP 57-103862) fail to teach a plurality of holes (squeegee cleaning parts).

Kozo et al. (JP 2001-7514) teaches production of wiring board whereby through holes (3) are filled with paste (10) while dummy area holes (9) surround the printing area (2) having the through holes (3).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified (a) Kuroki et al. (JP 57-103862) alone or (b) Takenaka et al. (JP 2001-213,064) in combination with Kuroki et al. (JP 57-103862) printing plate to include a plurality of holes as evidenced by Kozo et al. (JP 2001-7514) with the expectation of achieving similar success, i.e. removing paste from squeegee.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,606 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

Response to Amendment

10. Applicant's arguments filed 3/19/09 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

11. Applicant argued that the prior art fails to teach depression with an elevation portion

around them.

The combination of Takenaka et al. (JP 2001-213,064) in combination with Kuroki et al.

(JP 57-103862) teach methods of removing excess paste from a squeegee including depression

and "elevated" portions and it would have been within the skill of one practicing in the art to

have combined these two teaching to form a "more complete" removal of the excess paste from

the squeegee.

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/540,606

Art Unit: 1792

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K. Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian K Talbot/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792